Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

It would be one thing if Sinclair did not try to pass this off as 'news'. People watching will not realize this is an infomercial to promote Sinclairs political leanings. Can't they label it as such or if not, at least show the Kerry:' Upriver' film to balance their 'news' coverage?

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. I agree that local stations should be able to run coverage pertinent to their constituents and not be dictacted to by "big corporate media." Thank you.